UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,787	01/11/2005	Kenichi Miyoshi	L9289.04193	2438
24257 STEVENS DA	7590 06/27/200 VIS LLP	EXAMINER		
1615 L STREE			MIAH, LITON	
SUITE 850 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/520,787	MIYOSHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LITON MIAH	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perionally reply or perionally reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination.	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the se drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 21, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2617

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 8-9, 11-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (US 5,771,467) in view of Parkvall et al (US 2002/0080719).

For claim 8, Sato discloses a radio receiving apparatus comprising: a receiver (fig. 1 box 15) operable to receive a data packet from a radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) (column 2 lines 58-67); an error detector (fig. 1 box 17) operable to detect an error in the packet (column 3 lines 10-35); a reception quality measurement section (column 3 lines 40-47) operable to measure a reception quality of the packet (column 2 lines 19-24); a transmitter to transmit to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) a suspend signal requesting to suspend transmission (column 2 lines 12-19 and column 3 lines 47-57); and the transmitter transmits the suspend signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) based on the reception quality (column 3 lines 47-57 and column 4 lines 1-15).

For claim 8, Sato discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of a NACK signal. Parkvall et al from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches a transmitter operable to transmit a NACK signal to the radio

Art Unit: 2617

transmitting apparatus (fig. 10 box 54) (paragraphs 0056 and 0057) wherein the transmitter transmits the NACK signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 10 box 54) if the error is detected by the error detector (paragraphs 0057 and 0058). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit a NACK signal as taught in Parkvall et al in the communications network of Sato. The NACK signal as taught by Parkvall et al can be modified/implemented into the communication network of Sato. The motivation for a NACK signal is because it would improve similar devices in the same way.

For claim 9, Sato further discloses the transmitter transmits the suspend signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) if the reception quality is equal to or greater than a first threshold (column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 40-62).

For claim 11, Sato further discloses the transmitter is further operable to transmit a resume signal requesting to resume the suspended transmission (column 4 lines 1-19).

For claim 12, Sato further discloses the transmitter is further operable to transmit a give-up signal requesting to stop the suspended transmission (column 4 lines 3-29).

For claim 13, Sato discloses a radio receiving method comprising the steps of: a step of receiving (fig. 1 box 15) a data packet from a radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) (column 2 lines 58-67); a step of detecting (fig. 1 box 17) an error in the packet (column 3 lines 10-35); a step of measuring (column 3 lines 40-47) a reception quality of the packet (column 2 lines 19-24); a suspend-signal transmitting step of transmitting to the radio transmitting apparatus

Art Unit: 2617

(fig. 1 box 12) a suspend signal requesting to suspend transmission (column 2 lines 12-19 and column 3 lines 47-57);

and the suspend-signal transmitting step transmits the suspend signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) based on the reception quality (column 3 lines 47-57 and column 4 lines 1-15).

For claim 13, Sato discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of a NACK signal. Parkvall et al from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches a NACK transmitting step of transmitting a NACK signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 10 box 54) (paragraphs 0056 and 0057) wherein the NACK transmitting step transmits the NACK signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 10 box 54) if the error-detecting step detects an error (paragraphs 0057 and 0058). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit a NACK signal as taught in Parkvall et al in the communications network of Sato. The NACK signal as taught by Parkvall et al can be modified/implemented into the communication network of Sato. The motivation for a NACK signal is because it would improve similar devices in the same way.

For claim 14, Sato further discloses the suspend- signal transmitting step transmits the suspend signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) if the reception quality is equal to or greater than a first threshold (column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 40-62).

For claim 16, Sato further discloses a resume-signal requesting step of transmitting a resume signal requesting to resume the suspended transmission

Art Unit: 2617

(column 4 lines 1-19).

For claim 17, Sato further discloses a give-up signal requesting step of transmitting a give-up signal requesting to stop the suspended transmission (column 4 lines 3-29).

6. Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato and Parkvall et al as applied to claims 8 and 13 above, and further in view of Faerber (US 2003/0031143).

For claim 10, Sato further discloses the transmitter transmits the suspend signal to the radio transmitting apparatus (fig. 1 box 12) if the reception quality is equal to or greater than a first threshold (column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 40-62).

For claim 15, Sato further discloses the suspend-signal transmitting step transmits the suspend signal to the radio transmitting apparatus if the reception quality is equal to or greater than a first threshold (column 2 lines 9-12 and column 3 lines 40-62).

For claims 10 and 15, Sato and Parkvall et al discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of a second threshold. Faerber from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the reception quality is equal to or less than a second threshold (fig. 4)(paragraphs 0039 [lines 3-9] and 0042). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a second threshold as taught in Faerber in the communications network of Sato and Parkvall et al. The second threshold as taught by Faerber can be

Art Unit: 2617

modified/implemented into the communication network of Sato and Parkvall et al. The motivation for the second threshold is because it would improve similar devices in the same way and also it would improve spectral efficiency and high transfer rates.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LITON MIAH whose telephone number is (571)270-3124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rafael Perez-Gutierrez can be reached on (571)272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2617

LM

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617